

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,843	10/01/2003	Chidambaram Raghavan	340058.556	3763	
	7590 03/16/2007 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
701 FIFTH AV		KOCZO JR, MICHAEL			
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3746			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/676,843	RAGHAVAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reposition. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 1	_				
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	·	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	,	, ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	nents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received in Ap	plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

m

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of figures 5, 8, 9, 10 and 11, respectively. The species are independent or distinct because they are not disclosed as being usable together and are therefore mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 to 3, 7, 8, 11 to 13, 21, 22, 24 and 27 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Lorraine Linford on March 14, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,843

Art Unit: 3746

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,843 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached at 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746